UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
WILLIAM R. ZUK	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	DI AINTIEE(C) DEMAND A TOTAL DV
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Helle 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints f	erstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE	E OF ADOPTION
instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in ad	Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the ldition to those paragraphs specific to the individual ed with an '\(\mathbb{U}\)' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s) below.
Plaintiffs, WILLIAM R. ZUK, by his/her/the NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s)	ir attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & , respectfully allege:
I. PA	RTIES
A. PLA	INTIFF(S)
citizen of New York residing at 370 Twin Lane Sour	reinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and a th, Wantagh, NY 11793 OR)
2. Alternatively, ☐ is and brings this claim in his (her)	s the of Decedent capacity as of the Estate of

Ca	se 1.07-cv-04403-AKH Documen	t i Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 0i i i
	residing at	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plaint	SPOUSE at all relevant times leading injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:
4.		/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated
	w York, Inc. as a Supervisor at:	2001 the injured Flament worked for Consolidated
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations
	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for
	bout <u>9/11/2001</u> until <u>10/31/2001</u> ;	Approximately days total.
	ly <u>8</u> hours per day; for ly <u>21</u> days total.	□ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
☐ The New From on or al Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;
Approximate	bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue th		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ringested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 11/2/06 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION ☑ DECUTEL ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 11/2/06	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	\square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
	<u> </u>

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

☑ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04403-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☐ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☐; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☑ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSES OF ACTION			
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
▼	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation	
√	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 	
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined	
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death	
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff	

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-04403-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: <u>Lung Problems</u> ; <u>Respiratory Problems</u> Date of onset: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u>	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: and Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	√	Other Injury: Joints aching/pains, numbness Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

auiiia				
==== V	Pain and suffering	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		
√	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
√	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
☑	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring			

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 6, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), William R. Zuk

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
March 6, 2007

perjury that:

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTR SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF	
WILLIAM R. ZUK,	
- against -	Plaintiff(s)
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	
	Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIE	D COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & Attorneys for: Plain Office and Post Office Addr 115 Broadway - 12th New York, New York (212) 267-370	ntiff(s) ress, Telephone h Floor k 10006
To Attorney(s) for	
	by admitted.
Attorney(s) for	
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:	
□ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of duly entered in the office of the clerk of	he within named court on20
Yours, etc.,	EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP